N.B.: If you have not taken ST2, please read John Murray, *Redemption Accomplished and Applied*, Part I, before the first class period.

06ST520 (3 Credits)¹
Spring 2015
Wednesday 7:00-10PM
February 11—May 13

Dr. Howard Griffith
Associate Professor of Systematic Theology
hgriffith@rts.edu

*Office Hours:* Wednesday 4-5:30PM, or by appointment. Feel free to call me – 703/408-3157—I will be happy to meet with you!

**You may record class lectures, but please do not distribute the recordings.**

*Course Description:*

We will study how we come to salvation in Christ and what that salvation brings us in Christ.

**Goals**

1. To increase understanding and confidence in historic Christian doctrine.

2. To present God’s eschatological work of saving sinners, and ways of speaking about his salvation presented in Scripture.

3. To elicit a greater love for our God and his Christ.

**Texts**²

Kevin DeYoung, *The Hole in Our Holiness.*

---

¹ Taking the systematic theology courses in sequence—though not required—will increase your ability in theology. However, first-time students may like to look at S. Grenz, *Pocket Dictionary of Theological Terms* or J. van Genderen et al, *Concise Reformed Dogmatics.*

² You may buy e-books, but if you cite an e-book, you must include the page number of the print version. No exceptions.
Assignments (Note items 1-5, below)

1. Class attendance is required.

2. Reading: You are asked to complete all reading assignments by the dates indicated below. I will quiz you about that week’s assignment in class. Completed reading will be worth 20% of your final grade. I will ask about it on the final exam.

Weekly Reading Assignments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lecture Topic</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Required Reading</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orientation to Soteriology and Eschatology</td>
<td>February 11th</td>
<td>WC Chs. 8, 9; SC 29-30; CW: 123-31; RAA (Part II) Chapter 1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The relationship of “Salvation” and “Last Things” in Scripture</td>
<td>February 18th and 25th</td>
<td>Hoekema, BF, Chapters 2-6; Gaffin, By Faith, all.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union with Christ.</td>
<td>March 4th</td>
<td>RAA, Chapter 9.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regeneration</td>
<td></td>
<td>CW: 161-201; RAA, Chapters 2-3; WC 10; SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topic</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faith and Repentance</td>
<td>March 11th</td>
<td><em>CW</em>: 235-63; <em>RAA</em>, Chapter 4; <em>WC</em> 14, 15; <em>SC</em> 86, 87.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading Week</td>
<td>March 18th</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Justification</td>
<td>April 8th</td>
<td><em>CW</em>: 202-22; <em>RAA</em>, Chapter 5; <em>WC</em> 11; <em>SC</em> 33.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adoption, Assurance</td>
<td>April 15th</td>
<td><em>CW</em>: 223-34; <em>RAA</em>, Chapter 6; Griffith, “‘The First Title of the Spirit…’”; <em>WC</em> 12; <em>SC</em> 34; <em>CW</em> 264-74; <em>WC</em> 18.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanctification and Perseverance</td>
<td>April 22nd</td>
<td><em>CW</em>: 294-320; DeYoung, <em>The Hole in Our Holiness</em>; <em>RAA</em>, Chapter 8; <em>WC</em> 16, 17; <em>SC</em> 36.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death, Judgment, Heaven and Hell</td>
<td>April 29th</td>
<td><em>BF</em>, Chapters 7-9, 19; <em>WC</em> 32, 33; <em>SC</em> 37,38.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exam in Class, 7-10PM</td>
<td>May 13th</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Research paper: In 12-15 pages (no more, no less, double spaced, 12 point font) discuss a topic in the area of Soteriology.³

Let your interests lead you to a topic. What would you like to understand or clarify for others? See “How to Write a Theological Paper” and “Research Paper Guidelines” on the course homepage.

The paper is due, in hard copy (no electronic submissions) at the beginning of class on **May 6, 2015**. I do not accept late papers.

- The paper must have a thesis statement—it must assert something. (Make this clear *somehow near the beginning*.)
- Then develop an argument that proves your thesis. In other words, there must be accurate description, but also more than description, namely evaluation *according to the Bible*.

---

³ You may like to evaluate a contemporary theological position on soteriology—How is faith related to salvation? Does a certain position on the law/gospel antithesis compromise effort in sanctification? How is forgiveness related to sanctification? How is adoption not only forensic but transformative? How is the believer’s effort related to “grace” in his sanctification? Is Vatican II’s position on salvation in other religions sound? Is universal salvation biblical? Is the “I” in Rom 7:14-25 the believer? Can “adoption” replace “justification” in explaining salvation? How orthodox is N. T. Wright on justification? Etc.
I want you to understand the classic Reformed theology on the subject, even if you have a different view. So, include something about the teaching of the Westminster Standards or the Three Forms of Unity on the subject you are dealing with.

All M.Div. students are expected to make significant use of the original languages of Scripture. Here I am not looking for a few proof texts, but for real interaction with a central passage (or passages) of Scripture in context. (This means you will use commentaries.) Bad: “Baptism is an ordinance of Christ meant to be continued in his church until he returns (Matthew 28:18-20).” Good: “Baptism is an ordinance of Christ meant to be repeated in his church until he returns, because …”—thesis statement follows—then there are paragraphs which explain the Scripture in its context in Matthew. Other theological points may now be mentioned and evaluated, showing how Moltmann, or Horton, for example, agree or how far they might agree, but what has been left unsaid, for example. Bad: “Roger Nicole is a credo-baptist, while Meredith G. Kline is a paedo-baptist.” Good: “In denying infant baptism Beasley-Murray takes a position that proves unbiblical, because he says the New Testament teaches that baptism saves. It is true that a number of passages of the New Testament indicate that God does signify salvation with water baptism, but a number also indicate that not all the water-baptized are saved”—Scripture exposition follows, showing what you mean by “signifies” and what scriptures indicate this—Then further elaboration: “Beasley-Murray answers this point about this text by saying ‘No!’ because he …”—then you reply, “However, what he fails to state, or notice, is…” At the end of the paper, you should sum up what you have found.

You should be familiar with the readings assigned for the course, and go beyond them in the paper. You must use at least fifteen good (solid-scholarly, not popular-theological, not magazines like Christianity Today or Modern Reformation), non-internet, sources (of course you may find articles on the internet, but in no case may you cite a blog), including the Westminster Standards. You may not use a study Bible as a source. If you cite an e-book, you must include the correct page number from the print edition of the book.

Formal Term Paper Standards

I expect you to use standard paper conventions found in Kate Turabian, A Manual for Writers of Term Papers, Theses, and Dissertations. There is a quick version at http://www.press.uchicago.edu/books/turabian/turabian_citationguide.html. If you cite an e-book, you must include the page number of the print version. Failure to follow these conventions will reduce your grade.

Please include a title page with your name, but omit headers or footers that include your name. Also, do include a bibliography. You may collect your graded paper at the RTS office.

Grading System for Papers:

A: Good grasp of basic issues, plus something extraordinary, worthy of publication in a technical or a popular publication. That special excellence may be of various kinds: formulation, illustration, comprehensiveness, subtlety/nuanace, creativity, argument, insight, correlations with other issues, historical perspective, philosophical sophistication, and research beyond the requirements of the assignment. One of these will be enough! M.Div. students, and MAR students who have studied Greek or Hebrew, must make relevant use of the meaning of Scripture in the original languages to receive the grade “A.” This will require extra work.

A-: An A paper, except that it requires some minor improvement before an editor should finally accept it for publication.

B+: Good grasp of basic issues but without the special excellences noted above. A few minor glitches.

B: The average grade for graduate study. Good grasp of basic issues, but can be significantly improved.

B-: Shows an understanding of the issues, but marred by significant errors, unclarities (conceptual or linguistic), unpersuasive arguments, and/or shallow thinking.

C+: Raises suspicions whether the terms and concepts are used appropriately. Does show serious study and preparation.

C: Uses ideas with some accuracy, but without mastery or insight; thus the paper is often confused.

C-: The student has a relatively poor, but barely competent, understanding of the subject.

D: Shows effort but absolutely nothing more.

F: Failure to complete the assignment satisfactorily. Such performance would disqualify a candidate for ministry if it were part of a presbytery exam.

The paper will be worth 30% of your final grade.4

4 Final exam on the reading and lectures. This will be worth 50% of your final grade. The exam will be administered in class on May 13, 2015. Please be sure to print your name on the paper. There will be a study guide on the course homepage.

5 Memorize and recite Romans 8:28-30 (any translation) and Westminster Larger Catechism 69. If you are an M. Div. student, also memorize and recite Westminster Shorter Catechism 29-38. I will ask about these on the Final Exam.

LC 69 Q. What is the communion in grace which the members of the invisible church have with Christ?

A. The communion in grace which the members of the invisible church have with Christ is their partaking of the virtue of his mediation, in their justification, adoption, and sanctification, and whatever else, in this life, manifests their union with him.

4 Abbreviations for Comments on Papers: A – awkward; Amb – ambiguous; Arg - more argument needed; C – compress; Circle (drawn around some text)- usually refers to misspelling or other obvious mistake; D – define; E - expand, elaborate, explain; EA - emphasis argument; F - too figurative for context; G - grammatical error; Ill – illegible; Illus - illustrate, give example; Int – interesting; M - misleading in context; O - overstated, overgeneralized; PS- problem in paragraph structure; R – redundant; Ref- reference (of pronoun, etc.); Rel- irrelevant, or relevance unclear; Rep – repetitious; Resp - not responsive (In a dialogue: one party raises a good question to which the other does not respond.); S - summary needed; Scr - needs more scripture support; Simp – oversimplified; SM - straw man (a view nobody holds); SS - problem in sentence structure; St - style inappropriate; T - transition needed; U – unclear; V – vague; W - questionable word-choice; Wk - weak writing (too many passives, King James English, etc.); Wo - word order; WV - whose view? yours? another author?

5 This may seem to be a lot of memorization, but M. Div. students have to pass an exam on the Shorter Catechism for graduation, anyway. This will give you a jump on the exam.
Completed reading 20%, research paper 30%, final exam 50%.

Most of my students get B’s. I try to keep A’s and C’s to a relatively small number. F’s are rare.

**Approximate Time Investment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lectures</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading (includes reading for the paper)</td>
<td>64 hours (@ 20 pages/hour)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memorization</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final exam (3 hours, plus preparation)</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>136</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grading Scale: standard RTS grading scale (*Catalog*, p. 42).

**Appendix**

**Policy on Late Assignments**

Simply put, late exams and papers are **not** accepted based on the following rationale:

“a. The issue is not so much an inconvenience to the professor. If that were the primary issue, then he would grade late papers because it is fundamental to his Christian commitment to put the interests of others before his own.
b. The issue concerns the apparent laxity with which extensions are often granted. This is not Christian education. Wisdom is living within boundaries. The cosmos exists because the Creator provided boundaries for air, water, land. Moreover, he provided temporal boundaries for seasons. Without boundaries, the cosmos would degenerate back into anarchy. It is the essence of Christian living that we live within boundaries. Liberals want no boundaries. They want freedom without form, liberty without law, lovemaking without marriage. This is a fundamental battle. It is distressing when Christians do not show respect for boundaries and when students do not respect temporal boundaries.
c. Wisdom also entails knowing the goal and devising a strategy to achieve it. Students must be aware from the syllabus what is required of them and should be able to strategize a successful model to achieve it. Laxity and uncertainty with regard to deadlines actually confuse the students and militate against a good Christian education. Paradoxically, “grace” sounds Christian and pastoral and “law” sounds non-Christian; but, sometimes so-called “grace” and “pastoral concerns” encourage libertarianism and in truth is non-Christian and non-pastoral. Consciously or unconsciously students realize that there is a fudge factor here, enabling them to rationalize their not turning in work on time.
d. The issue also pertains to spiritual life, a subject on which a seminary rightly prides itself. However, the spiritual life includes self-control, discipline, etc. Students reap good fruit from hard work.”

**Soteriology and Eschatology—Supplemental Bibliography**

1. Soteriology
   Buchanan, James. *The Doctrine of Justification*.

---

6 Adapted from Professor Bruce K. Waltke.

_Doctrinal Testimony Regarding Recent Errors_, Faculty of Mid-America Reformed Seminary. An excellent doctrinal evaluation of the NPP and Federal Vision as they relate to the Reformed Confessions. Especially considered are justification, covenant and sacraments.


Hoekema, Anthony A. *Saved By Grace*. Very fine overall, but a bit unclear on definitive sanctification.


Wright, N. T., *Justification: God’s Plan and Paul’s Vision*.

2. Eschatology


Hoekema, Anthony A. *The Bible and the Future*. 

7
Venema, Cornelis P.  *The Promise of the Future.*

**Course Objectives Related to MDiv* Student Learning Outcomes**

**Course:**  6ST520, Soteriology and Eschatology

**Professor:** Howard Griffith

**Campus:** Washington

**Date:** Spring 2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDiv* Student Learning Outcomes</th>
<th>Rubric</th>
<th>Mini-Justification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Articulation (oral &amp; written)</strong></td>
<td>Broadly understands and articulates knowledge, both oral and written, of essential biblical, theological, historical, and cultural/global information, including details, concepts, and frameworks.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scripture</strong></td>
<td>Significant knowledge of the original meaning of Scripture. Also, the concepts for and skill to research further into the original meaning of Scripture and to apply Scripture to a variety of modern circumstances. (Includes appropriate use of original languages and hermeneutics; and integrates theological, historical, and cultural/global perspectives.)</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reformed Theology</strong></td>
<td>Significant knowledge of Reformed theology and practice, with emphasis on the Westminster Standards.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sanctification</strong></td>
<td>Demonstrates a love for the Triune God that aids the student’s sanctification.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Desire for Worldview</strong></td>
<td>Burning desire to conform all of life to the Word of God.</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Winsomely Reformed</strong></td>
<td>Embraces a winsomely Reformed ethos. (Includes an appropriate ecumenical spirit with other Christians, especially Evangelicals; a concern to present the Gospel in a God-honoring manner to non-Christians; and a truth-in-love attitude in disagreements.)</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Preach</strong></td>
<td>Ability to preach and teach the meaning of Scripture to both heart and mind with clarity and enthusiasm.</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Worship</strong></td>
<td>Knowledgeable of historic and modern Christian-worship forms; and ability to construct and skill to lead a worship</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shepherd</strong></td>
<td>Ability to shepherd the local congregation: aiding in spiritual maturity; promoting use of gifts and callings; and encouraging a concern for non-Christians, both in America and worldwide.</td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Church/World</strong></td>
<td>Ability to interact within a denominational context, within the broader worldwide church, and with significant public issues.</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>